

Appl. No. 10/729,359
Amendment dated February 14, 2006
Response to Office Action of November 15, 2005

REMARKS

Applicant thanks the Examiner for allowance of Claims 1, 3-5 and 8.

The Examiner has rejected Claim 2 under 35 U.S.C. §112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter.


Claim 2 is further rejected as lacking antecedent basis for the limitation "the walls and baffles." This term has been deleted and the term "air pathway," having an antecedent basis in the 4th line of Claim 1 is inserted. The further limitation "lined with an anechoic material" is supported in the specification on page 4, lines 6-8. Applicant believes that this claim is now distinct and based on a proper antecedent in the independent Claim 1.

Claim 6 was written as an independent claim in a previous amendment. Claim 6 as amended expands on lines 3-8 of Claim 1, describing in more detail the lengthening of the air pathway. Claim 6 is supported in the specification on page 3, lines 24-29, and by Figure 2A.

Claims 2 and 6 being amended and Claim 7 now dependant on an allowable claim, Applicant believes that the claims are now in order for allowance, which notice is earnestly sought. If the Examiner has any further questions, he is invited to contact Applicant's attorney at the below phone number.

2417 Como Avenue
St. Paul, MN 55108
Phone: 651 659 9819
FAX: 651 603 1809
krterry@visi.com

Respectfully submitted by Attorney for Applicant,


Kathleen R. Terry
Reg. No. 31,884